



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/619,179   | 07/19/2000  | Dimitri Kanevsky     | YO999-468               | 1031             |
| 7590 05/25/2004  Paul D Greeley Esq Ohlandt Greeley Ruggiero & Perle LLP One Landmark Square 9th floor |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |             |                      | CHUONG, TRUC T          |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Stamford, CT   |             |                      | 2174                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004 | , 13             |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | M-C-        |
|--|--|--|-------------|
| Office Action Summany  | 09/619,179   | KANEVSKY ET AL.  | 1           |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   | A           |
|  | Truc T Chuong  | 2174   |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence addr   | ess         |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed<br>by (30) days will be considered timely.<br>THS from the mailing date of this comm<br>NANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. |
| Status   |  |  |             |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05  | 5 March 2004.  |  |             |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ T  | his action is non-final.   |  |             |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | wance except for formal matt   | ers, prosecution as to the m   | nerits is   |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde  | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D   | . 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |             |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |             |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 44-53 and 58 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 44-53 and 58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | Irawn from consideration.  |  |             |
| Application Papers   |  |  |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  | iner.  |  |             |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a   | accepted or b) objected to   | by the Examiner.   |             |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t  | he drawing(s) be held in abeyan  | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |             |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  | •  | · •  | • •         |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | Examiner. Note the attached  | I Office Action or form PTO  | -152.       |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the intern | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in A<br>riority documents have been<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | pplication No received in this National St   | age         |
| Attachment(s)  | _  |  |             |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>   |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>S)/Mail Date  |             |
| Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-1  | 52)         |

Application/Control Number: 09/619,179

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment C, filed 03/05/04.
- 2. Claims 44-53, and 58 are pending in this application. Claim 44 is independent claims. In Amendment C, claims 44 and 58 are amended. This rejection is a non-final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 48 is recites the limitation "said first opened time", "said first opened time", "said last opened time", "said contents", etc. in line 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Examiner will assume that first/last opened time are time interval when rejecting claim 48.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 44-53, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bass et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,559,533).

Application/Control Number: 09/619,179 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 44, Bass teaches a method for automatic control of window viewing, comprising:

determining a priority for each window of a set of windows that are arranged so that said windows overlap one another on a graphical user interface (priority, col. 2 lines 19-36, col. 4 lines 58-65); and

automatically re-arranging said windows so that said windows overlap one another in order of said priority on said graphical user interface (col. 9 line 43-col. 10 line 8, and figs. 4A-C).

As to claim 45, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, further comprising: automatically sizing said windows on said graphical user interface according to said priority (the number of bits per pixel can all be increased or decreased, col. 14 lines 18-20).

As to claim 46, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, further comprising: automatically positioning said windows on said graphical user interface according to said priority (location parameters, col. 5 lines 1-9).

As to claim 47, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, wherein said windows are automatically re-arranged only when a redrawing function is selected by a user (the priorities have been changed, col. 9 line 57-col. 10 line 8, and figs. 4A-C).

As to claim 49, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, further comprising: automatically displaying for said window in a color according to said priority on said graphical user interface (colors, col. 11 lines 20-62).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 50, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, wherein contents of said window is determined by latent semantic indexing (Viewports, col. 9 line 43-col 10 line 34, and figs. 4A-C).

As to claim 51, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, wherein contents of said window is determined by a content label assigned by a user (labeled different colors by users, col. 11 lines 26-62).

As to claim 52, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, further comprising: automatically re-arranging icons so that said icons overlap one another in order of said priority in said task bar on said graphical user interface (col. 9 line 43-col 10 line 34, and figs. 4A-C).

As to claim 53, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, further comprising:
automatically arranging icons so that said icons overlap one another in order of said
priority on a desktop on said graphical user interface (the viewports can be located such that they
overlap, col. 4 lines 58-65).

As to claims 48 and 58, Bass teaches the method according to claim 44, wherein said priority is based on at least one criteria selected from the group consisting of: each of a set of windows based on a first opened time for said window, a last opened time for said window, a current time, contents of said window, a percent visibility of said window, a scrolling amount for said window, and an access amount for said window (the time that a command is given to change the picture and the time that the picture actually changes, col. 1 lines 60-62).

Art Unit: 2174

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44-53, and 58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,179

Art Unit: 2174

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

04/11/04

Sustine Yuncaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100